THE SENTINEL GAZETTE

Opinion Column: Two Ways of Seeing

LITERATURE WITH AI: THE FUTURE OF AUTHORSHIP AND CREATIVE VALUE

By John News (Writing from Llançà, Catalonia)

THE ANCHOR

Observing the quiet Mediterranean waters from Llançà, one cannot help but ponder the spiritual essence of literature. The rapid intrusion of generative artificial intelligence into literary creation has triggered a wave of profound unease across the publishing landscape. Today, prestige literary prizes strictly penalize AI assistance, authors who experiment with algorithmic tools often feel compelled to conceal their methods, and the prevailing cultural climate views synthetic text as a threat to the sacred authenticity of human art.

The resistance to AI-generated prose is not mere technological conservatism; it rests on the conviction that true literature is an irreplaceable act of human vulnerability. As Plato recorded in the *Phaedrus*, Socrates famously warned that the invention of writing would diminish human memory and create the illusion of wisdom without true soul. While writing survived that ancient critique, artificial intelligence presents a fundamentally different challenge: it automates the synthesis of style and structure without ever experiencing suffering, joy, mortality, or love.

When an algorithm produces a poem or a novel by processing billions of existing patterns, it does not express a lived experience; it generates a sophisticated statistical echo. If society surrenders the craft of writing to machines, we risk diluting the intrinsic value of human narrative into an infinite stream of frictionless, homogenized content. Literature is not merely a consumable product to be judged by its plot speed or stylistic fluency, but a bridge between two conscious minds. Without the genuine weight of human lived experience behind the words, storytelling loses its moral grounding and its capacity to offer authentic empathy.

THE HORIZON

To view artificial intelligence as the death of literature is to repeat the historical panic that accompanied every major evolutionary leap in human expression, from the Gutenberg press to photography and digital publishing. The debate surrounding AI collaboration is rapidly reshaping the very concept of authorship, shifting our understanding from single-handed creation to editorial vision, curation, and conceptual direction.

In the literary world of tomorrow, the taboo currently surrounding AI will inevitably dissolve, just as early skepticism toward digital art and electronic music vanished. When Jorge Luis Borges wrote about the infinite library or imagined literature as a communal, ever-shifting web of interconnected texts, he anticipated a world where original genius matters less than the power of recombination and perspective. The central question for readers will eventually transcend the technical origin of the words: does the book move you? Does it illuminate a hidden truth about human existence? Is it worth reading?

Rather than destroying literature, the proliferation of AI tools will dramatically elevate the value of genuine human experience. As synthetically flawless prose becomes abundant and accessible to all, reader appreciation will pivot toward perspective, intellectual honesty, and transparent collaboration. Authors who openly acknowledge AI as a creative partner—using it to test narrative arcs, expand linguistic boundaries, or structure complex ideas—are not cheating; they are expanding the horizons of human imagination. The future of the book does not belong to machines, but to human creators who master these new tools to tell deeper, richer human stories.

24/11/2026